Significance of steerable laparoscopic instrument: usability-based evaluation in a simulation model
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Background: Laparoscopic surgery had been developed for decades. The latest trend is to minimize the incision size and minimize the number of port incisions. When surgeons have to operate in the limited incision, interference between instruments will result in increasing difficulty of surgery. In the study, we tested the significance and efficacy of a newly developed laparoscopic instrument with conventional rigid laparoscopic instrument in an usability-based method.

Methods: We used a standard laboratory setting for comparison of characteristics of the instruments. There are 10 surgeons participated in the study. The tests included common procedures that can be performed by these instruments. The efficiency and features are compared and analyzed.

Results: The average time to learn to use the instrument is 3.8 minutes. In 6 tests of common laparoscopic techniques, including using instruments to moving a plastic tube in certain site, to throw a knot, to suture, to guide a string, to cut specimen and to open a candy with foil, performance of the two steerable laparoscopic instruments is similar but both performances are better than that of conventional rigid instrument. The benefits are much better in single port situation.

Conclusions: Steerable design of the instrument is reasonable for clinical use. Steerable laparoscopic instrument can be an alternative to conventional rigid laparoscopic instrument.
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Introduction

Laparoscopic instrument was invented to assist surgeons in performing laparoscopic surgery. Therefore, the evolution history of laparoscopic instrument always accompanied surgeon’s demand in certain procedures. Back in the early days of laparoscopic surgery, procedures that could be performed depended much on the available but limited tools (1,2). Because simple procedures in open surgery, such as instrument tie, looping and dissection, might become difficult in the settings of laparoscopic surgery. That is the reasons that more and more instrument designs were invented in recent 2 decades (3-7). One example is the instrument proposed by Murphy et al., which was ultrasonically powered (6).

In the following period, another issue gained speculation. In the past, instruments used in surgery were usually reusable. Repeated use of such instrument requires disinfection procedures. However, there is risk of incomplete disinfection results. In order to prevent
contamination, some forms of disposable laparoscopic instruments were introduced into the market. The overall cost in a procedure using a lot of disposable laparoscopic instrument might be higher than a procedure using a lot of reusable instrument (8, 9). The cost might be as high as two to six folds in the study by the team of Eddie et al. In viewpoint of cost only, reusable instruments might be more favorable. However, in recent years, the concern of contamination arose again because a newly found disease, prion disease, that can be transmitted through contaminated laparoscopic instruments. The risk of cross contamination is actually underestimated. Although there is always controversy, the final solution is very straightforward, that is using disposable laparoscopic instruments.

The latest trend in laparoscopic surgery is single port laparoscopic surgery (10, 11). Because conventional rigid and straight laparoscopic instruments can cause so-called “chopstick effect”, the single port laparoscopic procedure would become extremely difficult and limited. In order to overcome the problem, Yin et al. proposed an instrument with two rotating wrists (11). The design was to assist the operating surgeons to overcome “chopstick effect”. In their initial attempts in 30 patients, the results were favorable and the results demonstrated the safety and efficacy of the design. Wang et al. also developed a design of steerable laparoscopic instruments using four-cable system as transmission to control the end-effectors (12). The team of DragonFlex leaded by Jelinek et al. published a research article about minimizing the rolling joint in their steerable laparoscopic design (13). Their design improved the size and angle of rolling joint in their product, allowing better manipulation during surgery.

In the study, we proposed a novel design of steerable laparoscopic instrument. We test the efficacy of using the instrument and compared the results to that of conventional instrument design. The study is a usability-based evaluation according to MEDDEV revision 4 under directives 93/42/EEC.

**Methods**

**Instrument design**

The initial concept is to design an instrument that can exert a full range-of-motion action. During developmental stage of the instrument, there are some limitations in materials and mechanical strength of each structure. The design process was using 3D simulation first and then tested each critical component by making sample components to ensure the strength and function. After repeated tests of the critical components, the required strength and function can be confirmed by the process. The major materials of the hand-held part were made of plastic materials, inclusive of acrylonitrile butadiene styrene and polycarbonate, and the materials providing mechanical strength were made of stainless steel. Both of the materials were safe in terms of biocompatibility and current technology of disinfection by ethylene oxide. The 3D simulation is shown in Figure 1A,B. The product appearance had been optimized for proper handling. The finalized prototype of such instrument is shown in Figure 1C,D.

The basic functions of the steerable laparoscopic instrument included 360-degree rotation of the whole shaft (Figure 2A), articulation of the shaft (Figure 2B) and independent rotation of the end effector (Figure 2C). With all independent functions of the three-axis, the instrument can be used in all directions and can provide more degree-of-freedom during laparoscopic surgery. The mechanical strength of the articulation joint is more than 4 kg during...
stress test, which is strong enough under most common circumstances. The outer diameter is 4.5 mm, which is compatible with current instrument design and commonly used trocar system. The instrument is insulated in order to connect to an external electrosurgical generator. It can withstand at least 1,200 KV. Before proceeding to the simulation test, the prototype was tested for various scenarios to ensure the strength and safety.

**Simulation test**

The simulation test is designed based on the requirement of IEC62366 from opening of the package, using the product in common use scenario, worst case scenario, and discarded the product. The scenarios included common use scenario and worse case scenario. The study protocol is shown in Figure 3. The study was performed in the clinical skill center in Tamsheui branch of Mackay Memorial Hospital. The study room is an isolated room with an observable double mirror. The personnel can stand in front of the double mirror to observe, to record and to instruct the tested steps. We used a simulation box to allow surgeons to test for the specific task in each step. The tested procedure included moving a plastic tube in straight line, to throw a knot, to suture in a certain site, to place a string in a certain sequence, to cut a specimen, and to open a candy enclosed in a foil. Performing the procedures was tested by using conventional rigid instrument (Figure 4A), by using steerable laparoscopic instrument (Figure 4B) and by using a predicate device in the test (Figure 4C). The time required for the action and relative difficulty would be recorded and analyzed. The difficulty score of performing a certain procedure ranged from 1 to 5 and the scores were defined in Table 1. The score of difficulty using conventional rigid instrument to accomplish a certain task was defined to be 3 (Table 2). SPSS 13.0 was used to test the difference between each the results of using different instruments by the participated surgeons.

**Results**

There were ten surgeons involving the usability-based simulation test. The background of the surgeons is showed...
During the instruction step, the average time required for the surgeons to learn of the operation of steerable laparoscopic instrument and the predicate device, Covidien SILS Clincher, were 3.8 and 4 minutes, respectively. There was no significant difference. All of them can understand the operating principle without reading instruction manual within 5 minutes. All surgeons were quite familiar to conventional rigid instrument and actually there is no time required for them to understand the operating principle. None of the test instruments are broken by wrong manipulation. Therefore, in the worst case scenario, there is no issue of safety concern.

In the simulation test 1, surgeons were asked to use the instrument to move some plastic tubes in a row. In the test, the average score in the steerable laparoscopic instrument is 4.3 and the score in the predicate device is also 4.3. Comparing the two groups, there was no statistical differences. However, the scores of both groups were significantly higher than the score in the rigid instrument group (P value is less than 0.001). The result indicated that using steerable instruments in performing the task is easier than using conventional rigid instrument. In simulation test, surgeons were asked to throw a knot by using different instrument designs. The scores of the steerable instrument were 4.2 and the scores were 4.4 in the predicate device. There was no statistical difference in the two groups. Both scores were significantly better than the scores in conventional rigid instrument group (P value was less than 0.001). In simulation test 3, they were asked to perform an
In the history and development of laparoscopic surgery, evolution of surgical instrument plays a great role. In the early days of laparoscopic surgery, surgeons could use some primitive tools or methods to perform laparoscopic surgery. In early days, they were usually simple and uncomplicated procedures (14). Because initial attempts of laparoscopic surgery resulted in promising outcome, more and more demands in instrument emerged (15-17). Delicate and accurate procedure can’t be done without innovative and proper instrument designed for such purpose. The latest trend in laparoscopic surgery can be categorized into two concepts. One concept is to use similar number of port incisions but the incision size is minimized. For example, surgeons can use three 3 mm incisions and one 10 mm camera port instead of four 10mm port incisions to do the same procedures. The main difference is using fine instrument, usually 3 mm in diameter. Instrument of small bore has its own limit in mechanical strength and is usually more suitable in pediatric patients (18). In adult, the application potential of such instrument is quite limited. Another concept is to utilize a single incision, usually umbilical incision, to perform surgical procedures that were previously performed by multiple port incisions. The method is termed as single incision endoscopic surgery (SIES) (19-21). Other terms, such as uni-portal laparoscopy and single port laparoscopy, were indicative of the same concept (22,23). Both concepts have their own advocates.

While performing surgery in either so-called reduced port surgery or SIES, instrument design would influence feasibility and risks of a certain surgical procedure. In the past, some surgeons tried to use conventional rigid laparoscopic instrument to perform SIES. The mode of using instrument in SIES is feasible but usually results in “chop-stick” effect (11,24-26). When the operative space in the abdominal wall created by the incision is small, the middle part of the instrument would be confined in the incision. The hand-held part will interfere with each other and cause difficulty in manipulation of these instruments during a certain procedure. In order to minimize such problem in SIES, there are some potential solutions. The first and simplest solution is to use instruments of different

### Table 4 The relative difficulty scores of the actions by using a predicate device, Covidien CLIS Clincher, were recorded

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surgeon</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Placing plastic tubes</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throw a knot</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suture</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guide a string</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To cut</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open a candy with foil</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5 The relative difficulty of the actions by using the designed steerable laparoscopic instrument was recorded

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surgeon</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Placing plastic tubes</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throw a knot</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suture</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guide a string</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To cut</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open a candy with foil</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

accurate suture in the predetermined site, in the test, the steerable laparoscopic instrument was scored 4.5 in average and the predicate device was scored 4.3, which is quite similar in results. Both of the groups showed better results than the score of conventional rigid instrument. The results demonstrated that using a steerable instrument would be easier for surgeons to perform accurate suturing. In the test 4, surgeons tried to guide a string in certain directions and sequence through metallic hooks. In the test, there were many different directions required to guide the string. Steerable instrument was scored 4.6 and the predicate device was scored 4.5, which were significantly higher than conventional rigid instrument. In simulation test 5, surgeons performed a cutting action in a circular region, the relative difficulty score in the steerable instrument group was 3.5, significantly higher than the scores in convention rigid instrument group (P value: 0.037), but there was only a borderline trend that the score was better than that of predicate device (P value: 0.598). In the last simulation test 6, surgeons used the instruments to open a candy with foil. The scores were 3.2 in steerable instrument group and 3.3 in the predicate device group. Both scores were not significantly better than the score of conventional rigid instrument group (P value: 0.343). The scores of the proposed instrument and the predicate device are recorded in Tables 4 and 5.

### Discussion

In the history and development of laparoscopic surgery, evolution of surgical instrument plays a great role. In the early days of laparoscopic surgery, surgeons could use some primitive tools or methods to perform laparoscopic surgery. In early days, they were usually simple and uncomplicated procedures (14). Because initial attempts of laparoscopic surgery resulted in promising outcome, more and more demands in instrument emerged (15-17). Delicate and accurate procedure can’t be done without innovative and proper instrument designed for such purpose. The latest trend in laparoscopic surgery can be categorized into two concepts. One concept is to use similar number of port incisions but the incision size is minimized. For example, surgeons can use three 3 mm incisions and one 10 mm camera port instead of four 10mm port incisions to do the same procedures. The main difference is using fine instrument, usually 3 mm in diameter. Instrument of small bore has its own limit in mechanical strength and is usually more suitable in pediatric patients (18). In adult, the application potential of such instrument is quite limited. Another concept is to utilize a single incision, usually umbilical incision, to perform surgical procedures that were previously performed by multiple port incisions. The method is termed as single incision endoscopic surgery (SIES) (19-21). Other terms, such as uni-portal laparoscopy and single port laparoscopy, were indicative of the same concept (22,23). Both concepts have their own advocates.
length to minimize interference in the hand-held parts. A demerit of using different length instrument is that there would be some interferences in front effectors (25). A second concept is to use curved instrument to avoid chopstick effects (13). However, curved rigid instrument is not compatible with conventional access device (27-29). Conventional access device is a trocar with long rigid lumen made of plastic materials. When the curve of an instrument is large, it can’t be placed into peritoneal cavity through the access device. A third method is to use instrument that can change direction after entering into peritoneal cavity. Such instrument is termed as articulated instrument or steerable instrument, in a more advanced version. The design of steerable instrument has some advantages. When the instrument is kept in straight line, it could be used as a conventional instrument. When surgeons place the instrument into body cavity, it can be placed through conventional rigid trocar. The shaft can be articulated after the instrument shaft being in the peritoneal cavity. Because selection of certain port incision sites may limit the direction of approach angle of rigid laparoscopic instrument, steerable laparoscopic instrument can provide more degree of freedom during operation.

In our study, we can see that in performing certain tasks by different instrument designs, feasibility of steerable instruments is always better than that of conventional rigid instrument. The only demerit is that users have to learn to use the instrument. Being familiar to certain design may require time and patience for participating surgeons.

The initial simulation study demonstrated that using steerable laparoscopic instrument might be beneficial in performing laparoscopic surgery, especially in SIES. The true benefits and efficacy should be evaluated in an adequate study involving real surgical procedures in the future.

Conclusions

In the usability-based study, we can conclude that using steerable laparoscopic instrument in certain surgical approaches is easier than using conventional rigid laparoscopic instrument. Further study is required to confirm the advantages in clinical setting.
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